

EFFECT OF CELLOBIOSE SUPPLEMENTATION IN DRINKING WATER AND FEED RESTRICTION ON APPARENT FAECAL DIGESTIBILITY AND GROWTH PERFORMANCE IN RABBITS

Farias-Kovac C., Simbaña F., Reyes M., Ávila A.B., Carabaño R.,
Nicodemus N., García J.*

Dpto. Producción Agraria, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Paseo Senda del Rey 18, 28040, Madrid, Spain

*Corresponding author: javier.garcia@upm.es

ABSTRACT

The objective of this work was to evaluate the effect of cellobiose supplementation in water (CEL) and its potential synergy with feed restriction on fecal digestibility and growth performance. Four treatments in a factorial arrangement were used: 2 levels of CEL (0.0 and 7.5 g/L) × 2 feeding plans (*ad libitum* and restricted, from 32 to 47 d of age). A total of 236 32-d old rabbits weighing 700 ± 116 g were blocked by litter randomly assigned to the four treatments and caged individually until 60 d of age. The restricted group was fed with 50% the feed eaten by the *ad libitum* group at weaning and the daily feed supply increased linearly until 100% of intake of the *ad libitum* group at 47 d of age. Fecal digestibility was determined between 39 and 43 d (D1) and between 53 and 56 d of age (D2) (10/treatment). Cellobiose supplementation had no effect on faecal digestibility but tended to increase starch digestibility in D1 ($P = 0.074$). Feed restriction improved energy, protein (both by 5%), starch (+0.3%) and total dietary fibre digestibility by 11% ($P \leq 0.026$) in D1, with no effect in D2. Feed efficiency improved in the whole experimental period with cellobiose supplementation (+3%. $P = 0.003$), due to the trend to increase the growth rate ($P = 0.11$), with no effect on feed intake and mortality. During the restriction period feed intake of restricted rabbits was a 72% of that of the *ad libitum* group, while in the whole experimental period accounted for a 90% of the *ad libitum* group. As expected, it decreased growth rate (-3.5%; $P = 0.015$) and improved feed efficiency (+7%. $P < 0.001$) in the whole period, resulting in a lower final liveweight (2287 *vs.* 2231d g; $P = 0.015$). Feed restriction, tended to reduce mortality rate (18.6 *vs.* 10.1%; $P = 0.067$), and curiously no differences in mortality were observed during the restriction period, and this tendency was explained by reduction of the mortality during the refeeding period ($P = 0.041$). There was no relevant interaction between CEL and feed restriction. In conclusion, CEL supplementation improved growth traits but had no influence on mortality, while gradual feed restriction, tended to decrease mortality with a minor reduction of growth traits.

Keywords: Cellobiose, Feed restriction, Digestibility, Growth performance, Rabbit.

INTRODUCTION

Cellobiose supplementation in drinking water (CEL, 7-7.5 g/L) reduced mortality rate when it was combined with a low soluble fibre diet (8.4%, on DM basis) in a context of epizootic rabbit enteropathy, but a higher dose (15 g/L) increased mortality (Ocasio-Vega *et al.*, 2018a, 2019). The positive effect of cellobiose might be related to the increase of the proportion of butyrate in the ileal digesta, effect also observed in the caecal *in vitro* fermentation (Ocasio-Vega *et al.*, 2018a,b). Feed restriction programs have proven to be effective in reducing mortality and optimizing feed efficiency after weaning in rabbits, although has the disadvantage of the impairment of growth traits when the

fattening period is short like in Spain (Romero *et al.*, 2010; Gidenne *et al.*, 2012). An alternative might be a progressive feed restriction plan (Duperray and Guyonvarch, 2009; Birolo *et al.*, 2016), that helps to control mortality but still impaired growth traits (Farias-Kovac, 2021). The aim of this study is to evaluate the possible synergic effect of cellobiose supplementation with feed restriction on faecal digestibility and growth traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design

Four treatments in a 2 x 2 factorial arrangement were used with two levels of CEL (0, and 7.5 g/L. Savanna Ingredients GmbH, Elsdorf, Germany) along the whole fattening period, and two feeding plans (*ad libitum*, AL; restricted, Rest.). Restriction started with a 50% of the AL group and increased progressively until 100% at 47 d of age inspired in the feeding plan studied by Duperray and Guyonvarch (2009) and Birolo *et al.* (2016). From 47 to 51 d restricted rabbits were offered the same feed eaten by the AL group, and from 51 to 60 d they were fed *ad libitum*. A control diet was formulated to meet the nutrient requirements for growing rabbits with 21.1% crude protein, 35.5% neutral detergent fibre, 9.1% soluble fibre, 13.4% starch and 19.0 MJ/kg gross energy (on DM basis). A total of 236 rabbits weighing 700 ± 116 g were weaned at 32 d of age, blocked by litter, randomly assigned to the four treatments and individually caged. Due to the design of the farm, treatments were not balanced (AL-CEL- =56 rabbits, R-CEL- =56, AL-CEL+ =62, R-CEL+ =62). No antibiotic was supplied. Rabbits had *ad libitum* access to water. Faecal digestibility was determined (9/treatment) from 39 to 43 d age (D1), and from 53 to 56 d of age (D2). Growth traits were recorded at 32, 47 and 60 d of age.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed as a completely randomized block design with level of CEL, feed restriction and their interaction as the main sources of variation and litter as a block, weaning weight was used as a covariate for growth traits by using a mixed model. Mortality was analyzed using a logistic regression considering a binomial distribution including the same variables in the model, and the results were transformed from the logit scale. All data were presented as least-squares means.

Chemical Analyses

Procedures of the AOAC (2000) were used to determine DM (method 934.01), crude protein (968.06), starch (amyloglucosidase- α -amylase method; method 996.11), and total dietary fibre (985.29), with no mucin correction for faeces. Gross energy was measured by adiabatic bomb.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cellobiose supplementation had no effect on faecal digestibility (D1 and D2), but a trend to improve starch digestibility in D1 ($P = 0.074$. Table 1). The lack of effect on the total dietary fibre digestibility contrast with the modification of *in vivo* and *in vitro* fermentation observed previously with CEL supplementation (Ocasio-Vega *et al.*, 2018a,b). Cellobiose supplementation improved feed efficiency in the whole experimental period by 3% (0.404 vs. 0.416; $P = 0.003$), due to the trend to increase the growth rate ($P = 0.11$. Table 2) with no effect on feed intake, which is similar to the results obtained by Ocasio-Vega *et al.* (2018a, 2019). Nevertheless, these authors reported a positive influence of CEL supplementation (combined with a low dietary soluble fibre level) on mortality, but in this study no effect of CEL on mortality was observed. Feed restriction improved in D1 faecal digestibility of energy (63.8 vs. 60.9%; $P = 0.036$), protein (78.3 vs. 75.1%; $P = 0.002$), starch (98.9 vs. 99.2%. $P = 0.026$) as well as the total dietary fibre digestibility (39.8 vs. 35.7%; $P = 0.011$) as expected according to most data reviewed by Gidenne *et al.* (2012) and Birolo *et al.* (2016).

Table 1: Effect of cellobiose (CEL) supplementation and feeding plan (*Ad libitum* vs. Restricted - Rest.-) on apparent faecal digestibility at two different periods (39-43 d and 53-56 d of age) in growing rabbits.

Feeding plan	CEL –		CEL+		SEM ¹		P-value ²	
	<i>Ad libitum</i>	Rest.	<i>Ad libitum</i>	Rest.	<i>Ad libitum</i>	Rest.	CEL	Feeding plan
n ³	10	10	9	8				
n ⁴	9	8	8	9				
39-43 d of age (restriction period)								
Body weight, g	940	895	1121	937	40.6	33.5	0.006	0.005
DM intake, g/d	104	85.7	102	83.7	3.40	1.08	0.702	<0.001
Faecal digestibility, %								
Gross energy	60.9	62.8	60.8	64.7	1.05	0.87	0.359	0.006
Crude protein	75.5	77.2	74.6	79.4	1.65	1.04	0.648	0.026
Total dietary fibre ⁵	35.8	38.3	35.6	41.3	1.41	1.60	0.377	0.011
Starch	98.8	99.1	99.0	99.2	0.10	0.07	0.074	0.012
53-56 d of age								
Body weight, g	2037	1949	2087	1904	81.3	52.4	0.970	0.058
DM intake, g/d	116	132	112	131	2.65	4.63	0.528	<0.001
Faecal digestibility, %								
Gross energy	63.3	62.4	61.8	62.5	0.78	1.00	0.486	0.919
Crude protein	73.9	73.7	72.4	74.7	0.87	0.88	0.830	0.246
Total dietary fibre ⁵	41.9	39.3	38.2	38.9	1.64	1.90	0.253	0.599
Starch	99.1	99.2	99.3	99.0	0.05	0.06	0.894	0.145

¹ Due to variance heterogeneity, a SEM value was included for each mean of the *ad libitum* groups, and another one for the means of restricted groups. ² The interactions Cellobiose × Feeding plan were not significant ($P > 0.10$). ^{3,4} Number of rabbits used per treatment for digestibility from 39 to 43 d, and from 53 to 56 d of age, respectively. ⁵ All values corrected for ash and protein.

Table 2: Effect of cellobiose supplementation (CEL) and feeding plan (*Ad libitum* vs. Restricted - Rest.-) on growth performance from 32 to 60 d of age of rabbits.

Feeding plan	CEL –		CEL+		SEM ¹		P-value ²	
	<i>Ad libitum</i>	Rest.	<i>Ad libitum</i>	Rest.	<i>Ad libitum</i>	Rest.	CEL	Rest.
n ³	44	50	42	51				
32-47 d of age (restriction period)								
Body weight 32 d, g	726	683	713	712	18.6	14.6	0.632	0.193
Growth rate, g/d	61.3	49.5	62.3	48.9	1.32	0.63	0.867	<0.001
Feed intake, g/d	127	90.8	124	89.2	2.72	0.78	0.299	<0.001
Feed efficiency, g/g	0.488	0.546	0.504	0.548	0.0	0.006	0.200	<0.001
Mortality	8.93	7.14	11.3	8.06	-	-	0.67	0.51
47-60 d of age								
Body weight 51 d, g	1627	1451	1642	1441	19.8	9.40	0.863	<0.001
Growth rate, g/d	48.3	59.7	52.2	61.0	1.25	1.47	0.057	<0.001
Feed intake, g/d	162	173	163	171	2.27	2.37	0.946	<0.001
Feed efficiency, g/g	0.299	0.345	0.320	0.353	0.007	0.006	0.023	<0.001
Mortality	7.14	1.79	9.68	3.23	-	-	0.50	0.041
32-60 d of age								
Body weight 59 d	2254	2230	2320	2233	21.1	22.7	0.110	0.015
Growth rate, g/d	55.2	54.4	57.6	54.5	0.76	0.81	0.109	0.015
Feed intake, g/d	143	129	142	127	2.00	1.19	0.507	<0.001
Feed efficiency, g/g	0.388	0.421	0.406	0.427	0.004	0.004	0.003	<0.001
Mortality	16.1	8.93	21.0	11.3	-	-	0.45	0.067

¹ Due to variance heterogeneity, a SEM value was included for each mean of the *Ad libitum* groups, and another one for the means of Restricted groups. ² No interaction was found between Cellobiose × Feeding plan ($P \geq 0.133$). ³ Number of rabbits at the end of the fattening period and used to calculate growth traits. For mortality values the initial number of rabbits was 56, 56, 62, and 62, respectively. Morbid rabbits were not considered in the growth traits data: 3, 1, 7, and 4, respectively.

It might seem to be accounted for an increase in the mean retention time of the digesta (Gidenne *et al.*, 2012), although it might also depend on the type of diet. During the restriction period feed intake of restricted rabbits was a 72% of that of the *ad libitum* group, while in the whole experimental period

accounted for a 90% of the *ad libitum* group. As expected, it decreased growth rate (56.4 vs. 54.5 g/d; $P = 0.015$) and improved feed efficiency (0.424 vs. 0.397; $P < 0.001$) in the whole period, reaching a lower final liveweight ($P = 0.015$) but optimal for the market. Feed restriction, tended to reduce mortality rate (18.6 vs. 10.1%; $P = 0.067$), and curiously no differences in mortality were observed during the restriction period, and this trend was due to the mortality reduction during the refeeding period ($P = 0.041$). This result is similar to that reported by Farias-Kovac (2021), although this author reported a reduction in the mortality rate during the restriction period, and larger differences in the final liveweight between the *ad libitum* and restricted groups. It might be probably due to the shorter duration of the restriction period (-4 d) in this study. These results are mostly in agreement with those associated with feed restriction reviewed by Gidenne *et al.* (2012) and reported by Birolo *et al.* (2016). There was no relevant interaction between CEL and feed restriction.

CONCLUSIONS

Cellobiose supplementation improved growth traits but had no influence on mortality. Gradual feed restriction, tended to decrease mortality with a minor influence of growth traits. No synergism was found between feed restriction and cellobiose supplementation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was financed by the project MINECO-FEDER (AGL2015-66485-R and the pre-doctoral contract BES-2016-076649 obtained by C. Farias), and Comunidad de Madrid (technician contract PEJ-2017-TL/BIO-6777 obtained by Carla Izquierdo).

REFERENCES

- AOAC, 2000. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC *International*. AOAC, Arlington, VA
- Birolo M., Trocino A., Zuffellato A., Xiccato G. 2016. Effect of feed restriction programs and slaughter age on digestive efficiency, growth performance and body composition of growing rabbits. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 222, 194–203.
- Duperray J., Guyonvarch A. 2009. Effet de différents plans de rationnement sur les performances des lapins en engraissement. Intérêt d'un aliment concentré en énergie et protéines. In *Proc. 13 Journées de la Recherche Cunicole*, pp. 59-62. November, Le Mans, France.
- Farias-Kovac C. 2021. Effect of dietary soluble and insoluble fibre level, oligosaccharides supplementation and feed restriction of rabbit performance. *PhD Thesis. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid. Spain.*
- Gidenne, T., Combes, S., Fortun-Lamothe, L. 2012. Feed intake limitation strategies for the growing rabbit: Effect on feeding behaviour, welfare, performance, digestive physiology and health: A review. *Animal*, 6, 1407-1419.
- Romero C., Cuesta S., Astillero J., Nicodemus N., De Blas C. 2010. Effect of early feed restriction on performance and health status in growing rabbits slaughtered at 2 kg live-weight. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 18, 211-218.
- Ocasio-Vega C., R. Delgado, R. Abad-Guamán, R. Carabaño, M.D. Carro, D. Menoyo, García J. 2018a. The effect of cellobiose on the health status of growing rabbits depends on the dietary level of soluble fiber. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 96, 1806-1817.
- Ocasio-Vega C., Abad-Guamán R., Delgado R., Carabaño R., Carro M.D., García J. 2018b. Effect of cellobiose supplementation and dietary soluble fibre content on in vitro caecal fermentation of carbohydrate-rich substrates in rabbits. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.*, 72, 221-238.
- Ocasio-Vega C., Delgado R., Abad-Guamán R., Carabaño R., Carro M.D., García J. 2019. Effect of cellobiose supplementation on growth performance and health in rabbits. *Livest. Sci.*, 221, 163-171.